Correlates of Religiosity Indicators Among Filipino Women

Josephine L. Avila Sonny Agustin Bechayda Judith Borja

Office of Population Studies, Cebu, Philippines

Introduction

The concept of religiosity broadly refers to various aspects of religious activity, including its thinking, feeling, and behavioral aspects (Cornwall, Albrecht, Cunningham and Pitcher, 1986). Also referred to as spirituality, it had been associated with various outcomes, including lower rates of depression (Maselko, Gilman & Buka, 2009), lower smoking and alcohol use (Edlund et al., 2009) and better cognitive health (Hill et al., 2006).

The Philippines has been reported as being one of the most religious countries in the world. A Gallup Poll done some years ago (2006-2008) on the importance of religion in various countries which asked the question "Is religion important in your daily life?" put the Philippines within the classification of the "most religious" countries in the world, with 95% of the Philippine sample saying religion is important in their daily life (Gallup, 2009).

The present study has three objectives: 1) explore whether religiosity among Filipino women was also related to health outcomes, 2) explore whether religiosity is also associated with other background characteristics related to health, 3) assess whether the associations vary by the type of religiosity indicator used.

Data

This study utilizes data from the Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey (CLHNS), a 30-year ongoing collaborative study from 1983-2012. The CLHNS longitudinally surveyed a sample of childbearing women from 1983-1986, then followed them up again in 1991, 1994, 1998, 2002, 2005, 2007 and 2012. The main religiosity indicators and covariates for this study were taken from the 2012 survey round of the CLHNS where 1,818 women remained in the longitudinal sample.

Whereas in the prior surveys religiosity was only measured in terms of church attendance ("How often do you go to church?"), in the 2012 survey round, several other measures of religiosity were employed. For the present study, we analyze three religiosity measures: 1) church attendance 2) the importance of religious beliefs in one's own life and 3) the importance of praying in one's own life.

Methods and Analysis

We use frequency ands percentage distributions of religiosity measures, health and other characteristics. Then we cross-tabulate each of the religiosity measures with the health and other socio-demographic variables. To assess bivariate relationships, we used chi-squared test (when assessing religiosity association with categorical variables) and one-way Analysis of Variance (when assessing religiosity association with continuous variables). For further analysis, we intend to perform multivariable analysis (using logistic regression etc.) to assess relationships of religiosity with correlates controlling for confounders.

Results

Convergences in the Religiosity Indicators

We found that the three indicators were similar in that they were all associated with educational attainment, assets, the number of grandchildren, depressive symptoms score, and cognitive health as measured by the MMSE. More frequent in going to church and more likely to say that religious beliefs and/or praying were important in their life were those women who had higher educational attainment, had greater assets, had less grandchildren, had less depressive symptoms and had greater cognitive health.

Divergences in the Religiosity Indicators

Initial results, however, also found dissimilarities in the associations of the religiosity indicators with the variables studied. Notable were instances when church attendance yielded positive associations with background variables not associated with the importance of religious beliefs or praying in one's life. Marital status, having ADL/IADL difficulty, cigarette smoking, and drinking alcoholic beverages were significantly related with church attendance but not with the salience of praying or religious beliefs. Less frequently attending church services were those who were in non-legal or cohabiting partnerships, those with ADL/IADL difficulties, elderly, those who had ever smoked, and those who drank alcoholic beverages.

Conclusions and Future Directions

The initial findings point to several similarities and differences in the religiosity indicators studied. Socioeconomic status (education, assets) appeared to be a strong correlate of religiosity. The same could be said of variables representing mental and cognitive health. On the other hand, the church attendance indicators seemed to be negatively affected by physical disability and old age, or by behaviors associated with moral stigma such as cohabitation, smoking and drinking. The next stage of analysis would explore whether the significant correlations of religiosity with other variables would remain once confounders were already controlled.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample, 2012

CHARACTERISTICS CHARACTERISTICS	Frequency	Percent
	Frequency	rercent
Age Less than 50 yrs	337	18.54
50-59 yrs	1,072	58.97
60+ yrs	409	22.50
Religion	409	22.30
Roman Catholic	1,700	93.51
Others	118	6.49
Frequency of church attendance	110	0.47
None or occasional	208	11.44
About once a month	341	18.76
About once a week	1,085	59.68
More often than once a week	184	10.12
Whether religious beliefs are very important in one's own life	10.	10.12
No	514	28.27
Yes	1,304	71.73
Whether praying is very important in one's own life	-,,,,,	, , , , ,
No	376	20.68
Yes	1,442	79.32
Marital status	,	
Never married	5	0.28
Legally married	1,238	68.10
Cohabiting	128	7.04
Widowed	349	19.20
Separated	98	5.39
Number of marriages (cohabiting/legally married)		
None or once	1,614	88.83
Married more than once	203	11.17
Work status		
Not working	621	34.16
Working	1,197	65.84
Educational attainment		
Less than elementary	595	32.73
Elementary/some high school	772	42.46
High school graduate or higher	451	24.81
Assets (score) quintiles		
First quintile	355	19.53
Second quintile	400	22.00
Third quintile	354	19.47
Fourth quintile	384	21.12
Fifth quintile	325	17.88
Urbanicity score (mean, minmax.)	40.44 (8-61)	
Number of grandchildren (median, minmax.)	5 (0-65)	
Self-rated Heath		4.4.40
Poor	265	14.60
Good	1,446	79.67
Excellent	104	5.73
Physical difficulties (ADL/IADL)		0.0
Without difficulty	1,684	92.63
With any ADL/IADL difficulty	134	7.37
Depressive symptoms score (mean, minmax.)	23.27 (16-39)	
Cognitive Health: MMSE score (median, minmax.)	24 (7-30)	
Cigarette smoking (at least 1 cigarette)	1 211	70.00
Never smoked	1,311	72.23
Ever smoked	504	27.77
Whether mother drinks alcoholic beverages	000	72 00
No V	980	53.99
Yes	835	46.01
N	1,818	100.00

Table 2. Religiosity indicators by socio-demographic and health characteristics, 2012

Table 2. Religiosity indicators				naracterist	Whether	religions	Whether	nravina
	Frequency of church attendance				beliefs ar		is very in	
					important in one's own life		in one's own life	
	None or	About	About	More	No	Yes	No	Yes
	occasional	once a	once a	often				
		month	week	than				
				once a				
				week				
Age	Chi-sq. p=.0				Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	
Less than 50 yrs	9.20	23.15	60.53	7.12	28.49	71.51	21.96	78.04
50-59 yrs	10.73	17.72	60.07	11.47	27.80 29.34	72.20	20.06 21.27	79.94
60+ yrs Marital status	15.16 Chi-sq. p=.0	17.85	57.95	9.05	29.34 Chi-sq. p=	70.66	21.27 Chi-sq. p=	78.73
Never married/separated	14.56	20.39	54.37	10.68	30.10	69.90	24.27	75.73
Legally married	9.77	18.09	62.12	10.08	27.30	72.70	19.31	80.69
Cohabiting	20.31	26.56	45.31	7.81	25.00	75.00	21.88	78.13
Widowed	13.18	17.77	57.88	11.17	32.38	67.62	24.07	75.93
Number of marriages	Chi-sq. p=.0		27.00		Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	
None or once	10.78	18.46	60.35	10.41	27.88	72.12	20.32	79.68
Married more than once	16.26	21.18	54.68	7.88	31.03	68.97	23.65	76.35
Work status	Chi-sq. p=.0				Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	098
Not working	14.17	15.94	60.23	9.66	31.24	68.76	22.87	77.13
Working	10.03	20.22	59.40	10.36	26.73	73.27	19.55	80.45
Educational attainment	Chi-sq. p=.0		_		Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	000
Less than elementary	17.14	23.87	55.13	3.87	36.47	63.53	28.57	71.43
Elementary/some hi school	10.10	20.08	59.97	9.84	26.81	73.19	18.91	81.09
High school or higher	6.21	9.76	65.19	18.85	19.96	80.04	13.30	86.70
Assets (score)	Chi-sq. p=.0		7005		Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	
First quintile	20.56	21.41	52.96	5.07	32.68	67.32	27.89	72.11
Second quintile	12.75	25.50	55.00	6.75	37.75	62.25	28.25	71.75
Third quintile Fourth quintile	10.73 7.81	19.49 14.58	61.30 64.32	8.47 13.28	31.64 21.88	68.36 78.13	22.60 13.02	77.40 86.98
Fifth quintile	4.92	11.69	65.54	17.85	15.69	84.31	10.46	89.54
Urbanicity (score)	ANOVA p=		03.34	17.03	ANOVA		ANOVA	
Mean	37.65	38.90	40.87	43.89	39.98	40.62	38.35	40.98
Number of grandchildren	ANOVA p=		10.07	13.07	ANOVA		ANOVA	
Median	6.5	6	5	4	6 5		6 5	
Self-rated health	Chi-sq. p=.1		1 5		Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	
Poor	15.47	19.25	57.36	7.92	28.68	71.32	23.40	76.60
Good	10.93	18.46	60.03	10.58	28.91	71.09	21.09	78.91
Excellent	6.73	22.12	61.54	9.62	17.31	82.69	8.65	91.35
Physical difficulties	Chi-sq. p=.0	00			Chi-sq. p=	=.439	Chi-sq. p=	=.548
(ADL/IADL)		T	•	1		1		
Without any difficulty	10.27	18.76	60.57	10.39	28.50	71.50	20.84	79.16
With ADL/IADL	26.12	18.66	48.51	6.72	25.37	74.63	18.66	81.34
difficulty	437077	000	<u> </u>		4370	000	4370	000
Depressive symptoms	ANOVA p=	.000			ANOVA	p=.000	ANOVA 1	000.=0
(score)	24.45	22.50	22.14	22.21	24.05	22.65	25.11	22.70
Mean Cognitive health (MMSE)	24.45	23.56	23.14	22.21	24.85	22.65	25.11	22.79
Cognitive health (MMSE	ANOVA p=	.000			ANOVA	p=.000	ANOVA	p=.000
score) Median	23	23	24	25	23	24	22	24
Cigarette Smoking	Chi-sq. p=.0				Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	
Never smoked	9.84	16.86	62.24	11.06	27.00	73.00	19.68	23.41
Ever smoked	15.28	23.81	53.17	7.74	31.35	68.65	80.32	76.59
Whether mother drink	Chi-sq. p=.0		33.17	1.74	Chi-sq. p=		Chi-sq. p=	
alcoholic beverages	5q. p=.0					. 173	οπ ση. ρ-	.017
No	10.00	15.00	64.29	10.71	28.88	71.12	21.12	78.88
Yes	12.93	23.23	54.37	9.46	27.43	72.57	20.24	79.76
				<u></u>				