#### Breaking the FGM Cycle in Nigeria

Aparna Jain, PhD, MPH, Population Council

#### Abstract

Female Genital Mutilation (FGM) is widespread in Nigeria, despite efforts to reduce deep-rooted social and traditional norms that uphold its practice. Using the 2013 Nigeria DHS couple data, we employed multilevel mixed logistic models to assess couple attitudinal agreement/discordance for/against FGM on the probability that at least one daughter was circumcised. Results showed that mothers who believed FGM should end, even if their husbands believed it should continue, were 77% less likely to circumcise their daughters (95% CI: 0.16-0.33). This relationship held among FGM (OR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.15-0.37) and non-FGM mothers (OR: 0.29; 95% CI: 0.08-0.29). When stratifying by region, this association was lost in NW, NC, and SE, where there was no association in circumcised daughters and couple FGM attitudes. Results suggest that while FGM is a normative practice in some regions, changing FGM attitudes of mothers are critical in breaking the cycle of FGM in subsequent generations.

### Significance/Background

More than 125 million girls and women currently live with female genital mutilation (FGM), and approximately 19.9 million girls and women with FGM live in Nigeria (UNICEF, 2013). While widely recognized as a human rights violation to girls and women, FGM continues to be practiced widely among infants and girls in Nigeria. The majority of women with FGM (82.0%) in Nigeria reported that they were circumcised under the age of 5 (NPC, 2014). Furthermore, this cycle continues through generations where 44.7% of girls whose mothers have FGM were circumcised by the age 4 (NPC, 2014).

Tradition and culture in addition to religion, hygiene, preservation of virginity, and a decrease in sexual promiscuity have all been shown as reasons for the continued practice of FGM in Nigeria (Enwereji, E.E. and Enwereji, K.O., 2013; Garba, I.D. et al., 2012; Alo, O.A. and Gbadebo, B., 2011). Fathers and grandparents have been cited as the primary decision makers of FGM (Amusan, O.A. and Asekun-Olarinmoye, E.O., 2006; Garba, I.D. et al., 2012). Data, however, indicate that FGM is declining–15.3% of 15-19 years olds compared to 35.8% of 45-49 year olds reported some form of FGM (NPC, 2014). Given this apparent reduction in FGM in next generations, the purpose of this study is to explore how parental attitudes within a couple influence the likelihood of a circumcised daughter.

# Methods

In the present analysis, we used the couple data from the 2013 Nigeria DHS and restricted the sample to women who reported being a wife of a male head of household, men who reported being a husband of a female head of household, and women who reported having a daughter. The weighted sample was 5626.

The outcome variable was the number of daughters circumcised as reported in the woman's survey. This count variable was recoded into a dichotomous variable where 0 represents no daughters circumcised and 1 represents at least one daughter circumcised.

The main explanatory variable is a construction of two questions that asked women and men about their perceptions of whether FGM should continue or stop. The couple attitude of FGM variable forms a five category variable of: 1) both believe FGM should continue; 2) both believe FGM should stop; 3) husband believes FGM should continue and wife believes it should stop; 4) husband believes FGM should stop and wife believes it should continue; and 5) all other combination of responses that include it depends, don't know and missing.

Several addition covariates are included in the models. These include woman's characteristics like age, education, religion, wealth, and urban/rural residence. In addition, a dichotomous variable was added to the models that assess women's perceptions of when physical and sexual violence are justified in four hypothetical situations. An index was first generated combining the four situations and then dichotomized into violence not justified in any of the four situations and violence justified in at least one situation. From the men's perspective, a variable for multiple partners and wives is included in the models.

We used two-level mixed logistic models (MLM) that include random and fixed effects to assess couple attitudes of FGM on the likelihood that at least one daughter was circumcised (Rabe-Hesketh, S. and Skrondal, A. (2008). The model accounts for the sampling methodology undertaken by the DHS (i.e. households (level 1) are nested in clusters (level 2)). We used clusters as a proxy for community<sup>1</sup>. Individual-level variables include: urban/rural residence and region as the fixed effects while the random effects include all variables on women's characteristics, domestic violence variable, and the multiple partner/wives variable. At the cluster level, only a random intercept was added to the models to account for correlation within clusters.

### Results

Results show that 18.4% (1075) of couples had at least one daughter circumcised. Thirty-two percent of couples felt that the practice of FGM should discontinue. In 7.2% of couples both husband and wife felt that the practice should continue. Among couples with discordant attitudes of FGM, 13.5% of husbands felt FGM should continue and wives felt it should end, and 10.2% husbands felt the practice should continue and wives felt it should end.

Tables 1 through 3 show the results of the multilevel mixed models. In Table 1, the unconditional and conditional intraclass correlation (ICC) and standard errors are presented for the three models in Table 2. The ICC is the proportion of the total variance in circumcised daughters that explained by all community-level factors. The unconditional ICC presents the empty model and excludes all predictors and the conditional ICC includes all predictors. The proportion of the variation in circumcised daughters explained by communities varies across the three empty models from 33% to 46%. Even though adding level-1 covariates reduced this variation in all models, approximately 16% to 39% of the variation in circumcised daughters is still explained by communities.

Table 2 shows the results of the adjusted multilevel mixed logistic model among the full sample, FGM mothers, and non-FGM mothers adjusted for women's characteristics and men's report of multiple partners/wives. In the full sample (Model I), couples in which the husband believed FGM should continue while the wife believed it should end were 77% (95% CI: 0.16-0.33) less likely to have circumcised a daughter when compared to husbands who believed the practice should end were also less likely to have circumcised their daughters (OR: 0.13; 95% CI: 0.09-0.18). Older women compared to 15-19 year olds, were more likely to circumcise their daughters. Significant differences were also observed by religion, wealth, and region of residence. The probability of circumcising a daughter was not different by education, residence, and perceptions of domestic violence.

Given the strong effect of mothers with FGM on circumcised daughters in the full sample, we ran models limiting the sample to FGM mothers (Model II of Table 2) and non-FGM mothers (Model III). Women who felt that FGM should stop, even if their husbands felt it should

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nigeria is divided into states and each state is subdivided into a local government area. Each LGA was divided into enumeration areas (clusters) in the 2006 population census. The Nigeria DHS used these clusters as the basis of stage one of the sampling strategy.

continue, were 76% (95% CI: 0.15-0.37) less likely and 71% (95%: 0.14-0.61) less likely to circumcise a daughter among FGM mothers and non-FGM mothers, respectively.

In Table 2, the association between circumcised daughters and region remained among the full sample and FGM mothers. All regions of Nigeria – North Central, North West, South East, South South, and South West – had significantly lower odds of circumcising their daughters than the North West, net of couple FGM attitudes, female characteristics, and multiple partners/wives. In Table 3, we stratified the analysis by region among only FGM mothers. In South East and South South regions only, couples in which the husband believed FGM should continue while wives believed it should stop were less likely to circumcise at least one daughter. This association, however, was lost in North West, North Central, and South East, where there was no relationship in circumcision of a daughter and couple FGM attitudes.

# Discussion

In order to break the generational cycle of FGM in Nigeria, the results of these analyses suggest that interventions aimed at reducing FGM should focus on mother's perceptions and attitudes of the practice. Furthermore, interventions in North West, North Central and South East may need additional community-based programs to address traditional attitudes and beliefs that perpetuate its use.

# References

Ahanonu, E. L., & Victor, O. (2014). Mothers' perceptions of female genital mutilation. Health education research, cyt118.

Alo, O. A., & Gbadebo, B. (2011). Intergenerational attitude changes regarding female genital cutting in Nigeria. Journal of Women's Health, 20(11), 1655-1661.

Amusan, O. A., & Asekun-Olarinmoye, E. O. (2006). Knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes to female genital mutilation (FGM) in Shao Community of Kwara State, Nigeria. International quarterly of community health education, 27(4), 337-349.

Enwereji, E. E., & Enwereji, K. O. (2013). Towards the Abandonment of Female Genital Cutting in Communities in Abia State: Initiatives in Nigeria. Journal of Therapy & Management in HIV Infection, 1(2).

Garba, I. D., Muhammed, Z., Abubakar, I. S., & Yakasai, I. A. (2012). Prevalence of female genital mutilation among female infants in Kano, Northern Nigeria. Archives of gynecology and obstetrics, 286(2), 423-428.

National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF International. 2014. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2013. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NPC and ICF International.

Rabe-Hesketh, S., & Skrondal, A. (2008). Multilevel and longitudinal modeling using Stata. STATA press.

Unicef. (2013). Female genital mutilation/cutting: A statistical overview and exploration of the dynamics of change. New York: UNICEF.

Couple Attitude- FGM         ref	Model III-I FGM Mot		
Couple Attitude- FGM         ref		95% CI	
Husband stop/ wife continue         ref         ref<			
Husband continue/wife stop         0.23         (0.16-0.33)         0.24         (0.15-0.37)         0.           Both stop         0.13         (0.09-0.18)         0.14         (0.09-0.21)         0.           Both continue         1.26         (0.88-1.79)         1.29         (0.84-1.99)         1.           Wife had FGM         -         -         -         -         -           No         ref         -         -         -         -           Age         -         -         -         -         -           15-19         ref         ref         -         -         -           30-34         8.37         (5.27-13.29)         7.19         (3.88-13.31)         5.           35-39         6.86         (4.25-11.06)         6.77         (3.59-12.78)         2.           40-44         5.23         (3.08-8.87)         4.73         (2.40-9.32)         1.           45-49         6.18         (3.00-12.74)         6.61         (2.67-15.78)         1.           Education         -         -         ref         ref         r         -           None         ref         0.88         0.41-0.84)         0.67         (	ref		
Both stop         0.13         (0.09-0.18)         0.14         (0.09-0.21)         0.           Both continue         1.26         (0.88-1.79)         1.29         (0.84-1.99)         1.           Wife had FGM         -		4-0.61)	
Both continue         1.26         (0.88-1.79)         1.29         (0.84-1.99)         1.           Wife had FGM         -         <	``	3-0.29)	
Wife had FGM         ref         -         -           No         ref         -         -           Yes         11.5         (8.84-15.08)         -           Age         15.19         ref         ref         -           20-24         2.21         (1.38-3.52)         1.74         (0.94-3.23)         2           30-34         8.37         (5.27-13.29)         7.19         (3.88-13.31)         5           35-39         6.86         (4.25-11.06)         6.77         (3.59-12.78)         2           40-44         5.23         (3.08-8.87)         4.73         (2.40-9.32)         1           45-49         6.18         (3.00-12.74)         6.61         (2.67-15.78)         1           Education         None         ref         ref         ref         ref         ref         ref         7           Primary         1.22         (0.89-1.66)         1.24         (0.82-1.89)         1         2           Religion         1.24         (0.24-0.73)         0.47         (0.25-0.86)         0           Islam         ref         ref         ref         r         r           Poorest         ref         ref	``	)-2.56)	
No         ref         -         -           Yes         11.5         (8.84-15.08)         -           Age         ref         ref         ref         r           20-24         2.21         (1.38-3.52)         1.74         (0.94-3.23)         2.           25-29         5.63         (3.60-8.81)         5.23         (2.87-9.54)         4.           30-34         8.37         (5.27-13.29)         7.19         (3.88-13.31)         5.           35-39         6.86         (4.25-11.06)         6.77         (3.59-12.78)         2.           40-44         5.23         (3.08-8.87)         4.73         (2.40-9.32)         1.           45-49         6.18         (3.00-12.74)         6.61         (2.67-15.78)         1.           Education         ref         ref         ref         r         r           None         ref         .22         (0.89-1.66)         1.24         (0.82-1.89)         1.           Secondary         1.24         (0.86-1.78)         1.21         (0.76-1.92)         1           Higher         1.00         (0.58-1.68)         0.93         (0.49-1.74)         2           Religion         uref	-	/	
Yes         11.5         (8.84-15.08)         -         -           Age         ref         ref         ref         r           20-24         2.21         (1.38-3.52)         1.74         (0.94-3.23)         2.           30-34         8.37         (5.27-13.29)         7.19         (3.88-13.31)         5.           35-39         6.86         (4.25-11.06)         6.77         (3.59-12.78)         2.           40-44         5.23         (3.08-8.87)         4.73         (2.40-9.32)         1.           45-49         6.18         (3.00-12.74)         6.61         (2.67-15.78)         1.           Education         ref         ref         ref         r         r           None         ref         lose-1.78)         1.21         (0.76-1.92)         1.           Education         1.22         (0.89-1.66)         1.24         (0.82-1.89)         1.           Secondary         1.22         (0.89-1.68)         0.93         (0.49-1.74)         2.           Religion         ref         ref         ref         r         r           Johne         0.42         (0.24-0.73)         0.47         (0.25-0.86)         0.4	_		
Age         ref         ref <td>_</td> <td></td>	_		
is-19         ref         ref </td <td></td> <td></td>			
20-24       2.21       (1.38-3.52)       1.74       (0.94-3.23)       2.         25-29       5.63       (3.60-8.81)       5.23       (2.87-9.54)       4.         30-34       8.37       (5.27-13.29)       7.19       (3.88-13.31)       5.         40-44       5.23       (3.08-8.87)       4.73       (2.40-9.32)       1.         45-49       6.18       (3.00-12.74)       6.61       (2.67-15.78)       1.         Education       ref       ref       (0.89-1.66)       1.24       (0.82-1.89)       1.         Secondary       1.22       (0.89-1.66)       1.24       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Poorest       ref       ottop       (0.45-1.00)       0.       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.95	ref		
25-29       5.63       (3.60-8.81)       5.23       (2.87-9.54)       4.         30-34       8.37       (5.27-13.29)       7.19       (3.88-13.31)       5.         35-39       6.86       (4.25-11.06)       6.77       (3.59-12.78)       2.         40-44       5.23       (3.08-8.87)       4.73       (2.40-9.32)       1.         45-49       6.18       (3.00-12.74)       6.61       (2.67-15.78)       1.         Education       ref       ref       ref       (0.82-1.89)       1.         None       ref       ref       (0.82-1.89)       1.       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.       1.00       0.58       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.45-1.00)       0.         Other Christian       0.58       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24) <t< td=""><td></td><td>9-5.09)</td></t<>		9-5.09)	
30-34       8.37       (5.27-13.29)       7.19       (3.88-13.31)       5.         35-39       6.86       (4.25-11.06)       6.77       (3.59-12.78)       2.         40-44       5.23       (3.08-8.87)       4.73       (2.40-9.32)       1.         45-49       6.18       (3.00-12.74)       6.61       (2.67-15.78)       1.         Education       ref       ref       ref       ref       ref       ref       0.82-1.69)       1.         Secondary       1.22       (0.89-1.66)       1.24       (0.82-1.89)       1.       1.         Higher       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Other Christian       0.58       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.45-1.00)       0.         Wealth       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Poorest       ref       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.27-1.00)       0.		4-9.20	
35-39       6.86       (4.25-11.06)       6.77       (3.59-12.78)       2.         40-44       5.23       (3.08-8.87)       4.73       (2.40-9.32)       1.         45-49       6.18       (3.00-12.74)       6.61       (2.67-15.78)       1.         Education       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Primary       1.22       (0.89-1.66)       1.24       (0.82-1.89)       1.         Secondary       1.24       (0.86-1.78)       1.21       (0.76-1.92)       1.         Higher       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       n       ref       ref       r       r         Islam       ref       ref       n	· · ·	-12.08	
40-44       5.23       (3.08-8.87)       4.73       (2.40-9.32)       1.         45-49       6.18       (3.00-12.74)       6.61       (2.67-15.78)       1.         Education       ref       ref       ref       r       r         None       ref       (0.89-1.66)       1.24       (0.82-1.89)       1.         Secondary       1.24       (0.86-1.78)       1.21       (0.76-1.92)       1.         Higher       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Jslam       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Poorest       ref       ref       r       r       r         Poorer       1.04       (0.77-1.39)       0.96       (0.61-1.53)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Richest       0.48       (0.28-0.80)       0.52       (0.27-1.00)       0.         Justified domestics violence       ref       ref       r       r         In no instances       ref       ref       ref       r       r	· ·	7-6.90	
45-49       6.18       (3.00-12.74)       6.61       (2.67-15.78)       1.         Education       ref       ref       ref       ref       r         Primary       1.22       (0.89-1.66)       1.24       (0.82-1.89)       1.         Secondary       1.24       (0.86-1.78)       1.21       (0.76-1.92)       1.         Higher       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       ref       ref       ref       ref       ref         Jalam       ref       ref       ref       ref       ref         Other Christian       0.58       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.45-1.00)       0.         Wealth       ref       ref <td></td> <td>)-5.89</td>		)-5.89	
Education         ref         r		-11.26	
None         ref         ref <td>(0.00</td> <td></td>	(0.00		
Primary       1.22       (0.89-1.66)       1.24       (0.82-1.89)       1.         Secondary       1.24       (0.86-1.78)       1.21       (0.76-1.92)       1.         Higher       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       ref       ref       ref       ref       r         Jslam       ref       ref       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.45-1.00)       0.         Other Christian       0.58       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.25-0.86)       0.         Wealth       0.42       (0.24-0.73)       0.47       (0.25-0.86)       0.         Wealth       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.         Richer       0.62       (0.39-0.96)       0.69       (0.38-1.24)       0.         Justified domestics violence       1       1       1       1       1         In on instances       ref	ref		
Secondary         1.24         (0.86-1.78)         1.21         (0.76-1.92)         1.           Higher         1.00         (0.58-1.68)         0.93         (0.49-1.74)         2.           Religion		5-2.08)	
Higher       1.00       (0.58-1.68)       0.93       (0.49-1.74)       2.         Religion       ref       ref       ref       ref       r         Jslam       ref       0.58       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.45-1.00)       0.0         Other Christian       0.42       (0.24-0.73)       0.47       (0.25-0.86)       0.0         Wealth       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Poorest       ref       o.42       (0.24-0.73)       0.47       (0.25-0.86)       0.0         Wealth       0.42       (0.27-1.39)       0.96       (0.61-1.53)       0.0         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.0         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.0         Richest       0.48       (0.28-0.80)       0.52       (0.27-1.00)       0.0         Justified domestics violence       ref       ref       ref       r         In no instances       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Residence       0.95       (0.77-1.18)       0.84       (0.64-1.11)       1.         Region       0.31		2-3.23	
Religion       ref       0.42       (0.41-0.84)       0.67       (0.45-1.00)       0.00         Other       0.42       (0.24-0.73)       0.47       (0.25-0.86)       0.00         Wealth       0.42       (0.24-0.73)       0.47       (0.25-0.86)       0.00         Wealth       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.00         Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.00         Richer       0.62       (0.39-0.96)       0.69       (0.38-1.24)       0.00         Justified domestics violence       0.48       (0.28-0.80)       0.52       (0.27-1.00)       0.00         Justified domestics violence       ref       ref       ref       ref       r       r         In no instances       ref       ref       ref       ref       r       r       r         Rural       ref       ref<	· · ·	5-8.17	
Islam         ref         ref </td <td>( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )</td> <td>,</td>	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	,	
Other Christian         0.58         (0.41-0.84)         0.67         (0.45-1.00)         0.0           Other         0.42         (0.24-0.73)         0.47         (0.25-0.86)         0.0           Wealth         ref         ref         ref         ref         ref         r           Poorest         ref         0.77         (0.52-1.13)         0.72         (0.42-1.24)         0.0           Middle         0.62         (0.39-0.96)         0.69         (0.38-1.24)         0.0           Richer         0.62         (0.28-0.80)         0.52         (0.27-1.00)         0.0           Justified domestics violence         no instances         ref         ref         ref         ref         r           In no instances         ref         o.48         (0.28-0.80)         0.52         (0.27-1.00)         0.0           Justified domestics violence         no         no         0.48         (0.77-1.18)         0.84         (0.64-1.11)         1.0           Residence         0.97         (0.77-1.18)         0.84         (0.63-1.30)         1.0           Region         0.97         (0.77-1.18)         0.90         (0.63-1.30)         1.0           North Vest         ref	ref		
Other         0.42         (0.24-0.73)         0.47         (0.25-0.86)         0.47           Wealth         ref         ref         ref         ref         ref         r           Poorest         ref         0.77         (0.25-0.86)         0.42         0.42           Middle         0.77         (0.52-1.13)         0.72         (0.42-1.24)         0.42           Richer         0.62         (0.39-0.96)         0.69         (0.38-1.24)         0.43           Richest         0.48         (0.28-0.80)         0.52         (0.27-1.00)         0.43           Justified domestics violence         ref         ref         ref         r           In no instances         ref         old (0.77-1.18)         0.84         (0.64-1.11)         1.4           Residence         0.95         (0.77-1.18)         0.84         (0.64-1.11)         1.4           Residence         ref         ref         ref         r         r           North West         ref         ref         ref         r         r           North Central         0.31         (0.18-0.53)         0.34         (0.18-0.66)         0.0           North East         0.39         (0.21-0.74)		9-0.69	
Wealth         ref         ref<	· · ·	5-0.91	
Poorer         1.04         (0.77-1.39)         0.96         (0.61-1.53)         0.70           Middle         0.77         (0.52-1.13)         0.72         (0.42-1.24)         0.71           Richer         0.62         (0.39-0.96)         0.69         (0.38-1.24)         0.71           Richest         0.48         (0.28-0.80)         0.52         (0.27-1.00)         0.72           Justified domestics violence         ref         ref         ref         r           In no instances         ref         ref         ref         r           Residence         0.95         (0.77-1.18)         0.84         (0.64-1.11)         1.72           Residence         ref         ref         ref         r         r           North West         ref         ref         ref         r         r           North Central         0.31         (0.18-0.53)         0.34         (0.18-0.66)         0.72           North East         0.39         (0.21-0.74)         0.35         (0.17-0.66)         0.72           South South         0.13         (0.07-0.24)         0.12         (0.09-0.49)         0.72		,	
Middle       0.77       (0.52-1.13)       0.72       (0.42-1.24)       0.73         Richer       0.62       (0.39-0.96)       0.69       (0.38-1.24)       0.75         Richest       0.48       (0.28-0.80)       0.52       (0.27-1.00)       0.75         Justified domestics violence       ref       ref       ref       r         In no instances       ref       ref       ref       r         Residence       0.95       (0.77-1.18)       0.84       (0.64-1.11)       1.         Residence       0.97       (0.77-1.18)       0.90       (0.63-1.30)       1.         Region       ref       ref       ref       r       r         North West       ref       0.31       (0.18-0.53)       0.34       (0.18-0.66)       0.         North East       0.35       (0.22-0.54)       0.21       (0.09-0.49)       0.         South East       0.39       (0.21-0.74)       0.35       (0.17-0.66)       0.	ref		
Richer Richest       0.62       (0.39-0.96)       0.69       (0.38-1.24)       0. 0.38         Justified domestics violence       0.48       (0.28-0.80)       0.52       (0.27-1.00)       0. 0.52         In no instances       ref       ref       ref       ref       r         In any of 5 instances       0.95       (0.77-1.18)       0.84       (0.64-1.11)       1.         Residence       ref       ref       ref       ref       r         North West       ref       ref       ref       r         North Central       0.31       (0.18-0.53)       0.34       (0.18-0.66)       0.         South East       0.39       (0.21-0.74)       0.35       (0.17-0.66)       0.	0.90 (0.57	7-1.43)	
Richest       0.48       (0.28-0.80)       0.52       (0.27-1.00)       0.48         Justified domestics violence       ref	0.77 (0.40	)-1.48)	
Justified domestics violence         ref	0.35 (0.14	4-0.90	
In no instances         ref	0.18 (0.05	5-0.64)	
In any of 5 instances       0.95       (0.77-1.18)       0.84       (0.64-1.11)       1.         Residence       ref       ref       ref       ref       r         Rural       ref       ref       ref       r       r         Urban       0.97       (0.77-1.18)       0.90       (0.63-1.30)       1.         Region       ref       ref       ref       r         North West       ref       old the st       old the st       old the st       old the st         North East       0.31       (0.18-0.53)       0.34       (0.18-0.66)       old the st         South East       0.39       (0.21-0.74)       0.35       (0.17-0.66)       old the st         South South       0.13       (0.07-0.24)       0.12       (0.09-0.49)       old the st			
Residence       ref	ref		
Rural         ref         ref </td <td>1.18 (0.79</td> <td>9-1.74)</td>	1.18 (0.79	9-1.74)	
Urban         0.97         (0.77-1.18)         0.90         (0.63-1.30)         1.           Region         ref			
Region North West         ref	ref		
North WestrefrefrefrNorth Central0.31(0.18-0.53)0.34(0.18-0.66)0.North East0.35(0.22-0.54)0.21(0.09-0.49)0.South East0.39(0.21-0.74)0.35(0.17-0.66)0.South South0.13(0.07-0.24)0.12(0.09-0.49)0.	1.12 (0.53	3-2.37)	
North Central0.31(0.18-0.53)0.34(0.18-0.66)0.4North East0.35(0.22-0.54)0.21(0.09-0.49)0.4South East0.39(0.21-0.74)0.35(0.17-0.66)0.4South South0.13(0.07-0.24)0.12(0.09-0.49)0.4			
North East0.35(0.22-0.54)0.21(0.09-0.49)0.10South East0.39(0.21-0.74)0.35(0.17-0.66)0.11South South0.13(0.07-0.24)0.12(0.09-0.49)0.11	ref		
South East0.39(0.21-0.74)0.35(0.17-0.66)0.South South0.13(0.07-0.24)0.12(0.09-0.49)0.		8-0.75)	
South South         0.13         (0.07-0.24)         0.12         (0.09-0.49)         0.13	``	9-0.65)	
		7-3.12)	
South West $0.51 (0.210.83) (0.43 (0.170.71)) 0$		1-0.76)	
	0.70 (0.20	)-2.40)	

 Table 1: Effect of Couple Attitude of FGM on the Probability of Circumcised Daughter among Full

 Sample, FGM Mothers, and Non-FGM Mothers

	Model I	– Full Sample		el II – FGM Aothers	Model III-Non- FGM Mothers		
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
One wife/partner	1.17	(0.94-1.47)	1.14	(0.84-1.55)	1.45	(0.97-2.15)	
>1 wife/partner	ref		ref		ref		
Number of couples	5626		1899		3330		
Number of clusters	842		505		727		

 Table 1: Effect of Couple Attitude of FGM on the Probability of Circumcised Daughter among Full

 Sample, FGM Mothers, and Non-FGM Mothers

 Table 2: Proportion variance in circumcised daughters explained by differences between communities (clusters) among full sample, FGM mothers, non-FGM mothers

	Intraclass correlation (ICC)	Standard Errors		
Full Sample (Model I):				
1 daughter circumcised	0.43	0.03		
1 daughter circumcised + fixed & random effects	0.22	0.03		
FGM mothers (Model II):				
1 daughter circumcised	0.33	0.11		
1 daughter circumcised + fixed & random effects	0.16	0.04		
Non-FGM mothers (Model III):				
1 daughter circumcised	0.46	0.05		
1 daughter circumcised + fixed & random effects	0.39	0.06		

Table 5. Effect of Couple Attitude of Fold of the Trobability of Circumensed Daughter anong Fold Mobility by Region												
	Model IVa		Model IVb		Model IVc		Model IVd		Model IVe		Model IVf	
	NW region		NC region		NE region		SE region		SS region		SW region	
	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
<b>Couple Attitude FGM</b>												
Husband stop/	ref		ref		ref		ref		ref		ref	
wife continue	101		101		101		101		101		101	
Husband continue/	0.44	(0.16-1.16)	0.17	(0.03-1.02)			4.28	(0.90-20.32)	0.05	(0.01-0.50)	0.09	(0.04-0.20)
wife stop	0.44	(0.10-1.10)	0.17	(0.03-1.02)	-		4.20	(0.90-20.32)	0.05	(0.01-0.50)	0.09	(0.04-0.20)
Both stop	0.44	(0.20-0.97)	0.07	(0.01-0.63)	-		0.67	(0.15-3.00)	0.13	(0.03-0.53)	0.06	(0.03-0.12)
Both continue	1.04	(0.42-2.53)	1.58	(0.30-8.29)	-		7.33	(1.45-37.09)	1.17	(0.22-6.10)	0.83	(0.41-1.68)
Number of couples	531		162		24		223		303		609	
Number of clusters	91		50		19		89		98		134	

Table 3: Effect of Couple Attitude of FGM on the Probability of Circumcised Daughter among FGM Mothers by Region

\*Models adjusted for woman's age, education, religion, wealth, domestic violence perceptions, and residence. Model also adjusted from man's report of multiple wives/partners.